INVESTMENT BENEFIT SO	CORING MOI	DEL											Name	
QUALITY BENEFITS	WEIGHTING	0% NO IMPACT	10%	20% LOW IMPACT	30%	40% MODERATE IMPACT	50%	60% MEDIUM IMPACT	70%	80% SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	90%	100% EVIDENCE OF SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	OVERALL IMPROVEMENT %	OVERALL IMPROVEMENT SCORE
EFFECTIVENESS  To what extent does this business case and/or development improve the outcomes of care?	è												0%	
Effectiveness Score  EXPERIENCE	25	0	2.5	5	7.5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20	22.5	25	0%	0
To what extent does this business case and/or development improve the experience of care?  Experience Score	20	0	2		6	g	10	12	14	16	18	20	0%	0
SAFETY To what extent does this business	20				U	<u> </u>	10	12	14	10	10	20	070	·
case and/or development improve the safety of care?  Safety Score	30	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	0%	0
TIMELY  To what extent does this business case and/or development improve the timeliness of care?					4.5		<b>→</b> c		40.5	40	40.5	ű c	0%	
EFFICIENT To what extent does this business case and/or development improve the	15	0	1.5	3	4,5	6	7.5	9	10.5	12	13.5	15	0%	0
efficiency of care?  Efficient Score  EQUITABLE	5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	0%	0
To what extent does this business case and/or development improve the equity of care?  Equitable Score			0.5				0.5					-	0%	0
TOTAL QUALITY SCORE	100		0.5		1.5	£	2.0	3	5.5	4	4.0	5	0 /6	0
COMMERCIAL BENEFITS	WEIGHTING	0% NO IMPACT		20% LOW LEVEL ACHIEVEMENT	30%	40% MODERATE ACHIEVEMENT	50%	60% MEDIUM LEVEL ACHIEVEMENT	70%	80% SIGNIFICANT ACHIEVEMENT	90%	100% FULL ACHIEVEMENT	OVERALL IMPROVEMENT %	OVERALL IMPROVEMENT SCORE
EBITDA  To what extent does this business case and/or development meet the target financial return of 10%  EBITDA Score	40	0	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	0%	0
RETURN ON CAPITAL EMPLOYED  To what extent does this business case and/or development meet the target financial return of 10%				Ü	12		20	A-7	20	0.5	00	40	0%	·
PAYBACK PERIOD  To what extent does this business case and/or development meet the	30	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	0%	0
target breakeven period of 3 years?  Payback Score	15	0	1.5	3	4.5	6	7.5	9	10.5	12	13.5	15	0%	0
FINANCIAL RISK  To what extent does this business case support the avoidance of financial penalties for non-achievement of core targets?													0%	
Financial Risk Score TOTAL FINANCIAL SCORE	15 100	0	1.5	3	4.5	6	7.5	9	10.5	12	13.5	15	0%	0
STRATEGIC FIT	WEIGHTING	0% NO FIT	10%	20% LOW LEVEL FIT	30%	40% MODERATE FIT	50%	60% MEDIUM LEVEL FIT	70%	80% SIGNIFICANT FIT	90%	100% FULL ACHIEVEMENT	OVERALL IMPROVEMENT %	OVERALL IMPROVEMENT SCORE
COMMISSIONING INTENTIONS (ACTIVITY & DEMAND)														
Does the proposal address long-term commissioning intentions of the GPC's as well as National Policy? Is there sufficient demand to support sustainable service delivery?													0%	
Activity Score  BEST USE OF RESOURCES	20	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	0%	0
Does the proposal support the need for the Trust to make the best of its resources with benefit for all users?  Best Use Score	20		2	A		0	40	40	4.4	16	18	20	0%	0
CLINICAL STRATEGY Is the proposal congruent with the published service Clinical Strategy?		0	2	-	0		10	12	144				0%	
Clinical Strategy Score  WORKFORCE/ DELIVERABILITY  Is the proposal deliverable in terms of	20	U	<u> </u>	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	0%	0
Is the proposal deliverable in terms of workforce availability and is the overall scope deliverable? Workforce Score	20	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	0%	0
DELIVERING INNOVATION  Does the proposal provide an innovative approach to improving health care, increasing market share, reputation or improving financial													0%	
returns? Innovation Score TOTAL STRATEGIC SCORE	20	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	0%	0
OVERALL RAW SCORE	300													0
QUALITY BENEFITS COMMERCIAL BENEFITS STRATEGIC FIT	30 40 30													0 0 0